FF# 6681676

Oleg Grinshpan

% AQ88 (Rev. 1/94) Subpoena in a Civil Case

Issued by the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN	DISTRICT OF	der cook.	CALIFORNIA
FINLEY V.		SUBPOENA IN A	CIVIL CASE
COUNTY OF MARIN, et al.		Case Number: Co	7-05922 TEH
TO: Linda Daube, 438 1st Street 4th Floor, Sar California 95401; (707) 578-9530.	nta Rosa,		
☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the I testify in the above case.	United States Distric	t court at the place, d	ate, and time specified below to
PLACE OF TESTIMONY			COURTROOM
			DATE AND TIME
☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the p in the above case.	place, date, and time	specified below to tes	tify at the taking of a deposition
PLACE OF DEPOSITION			DATE AND TIME
YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and populace, date, and time specified below (list does See Attachment	ermit inspection and cuments or objects):	copying of the follow	ving documents or objects at the
PLACE 755 Baywood Drive, Suite 185, Petaluma,	California 94954		DATE AND TIME 5/19/2008 9:00 am
☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspec	tion of the following	g premises at the date	and time specified below.
PREMISES	,		DATE AND TIME
Any organization not a party to this suit that is subdirectors, or managing agents, or other persons who can the matters on which the person will testify. Federal l	consent to testify on its	behalf, and may set for	designate one or more officers, rth, for each person designated,
ISSUING OFFICER: S-SIGNAT ORE AND TITLE (INDICATE IN	FATTORNEY FOR PLAIN	TIFF OR DEFENDANT)	DATE 4/25/2008
ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMB David M. Poore, Kahn Brown & Poore LLP, 755	ER	te 185, Petaluma, CA	94954; (707) 763-7100

(See Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Parts C & D on next page)

¹ If action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number.

	PR	OOF OF SERVICE	
<u>""</u> "	DATE	PLACE	
SERVED	4/28/2008	Petaluma, California	
SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)		MANNER OF SERVICE	
*See Attachment		*See Attachment	
SERVED BY (PRINT NAME)		TITLE	
Leslie L. Tacata		Legal Assistant	
,	DECLA	ARATION OF SERVER	
I declare under penalt in the Proof of Service i		f the United States of America that the foregoing information contained	
Executed on	4/28/2008	SUNATURE OF SERVER	
,		755 Baywood Drive, Suite 185	

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Parts C & D:

(c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS.

- (1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fee.
- (2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying of designated books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.
- (B) Subject to paragraph (d) (2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying may, within 14 days after service of subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to inspection or copying of any or all of the designated materials or of the premises. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect and copy materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production. Such an order to comply production shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection and copying commanded.
- (3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it
 - (i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance,
- (ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c) (3) (B) (iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to

trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held, or

- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies, or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) If a subpoena

Petaluma, California 94954

- requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or
- (ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or
- (iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena, or, if the party in who behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

(d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA.

- (1) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.
- (2) When information subject to a subpocna is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the minimdemanding party to contest the claim.

Finley v. County of Marin, et al. U.S. District Court, Northern District of California Action No. C07-05922 TEH

Attachment to Subpoena to Linda Daubi Page 1 of **S**

Deponent is to produce the following documents:

- Any and all documents, including electronically stored information (collectively "documents") that reference or relate to plaintiff Karl Finley;
- Any and all documents constituting, regarding, referencing, or relating to any workplace investigations, including any witness interviews, notes, communications, drafts of documents, preliminary findings or conclusions, scope(s) of assignment, interim reporting, meeting minutes, final reports, exhibits, evidence reviewed, or any other writings relating to the investigation (collectively "workplace investigations"), into plaintiff Karl Finley's complaints of discrimination, retaliation, unequal treatment, or harassment at the County of Marin, including any of its political subdivisions, departments, human resources, board of supervisors, affirmative action office, agencies, employees, appointees, affiliates, or representatives (collectively "County of Marin") from 1998 to the present;
- Any and all documents constituting, regarding, referencing, or relating to any
 workplace investigations into complaints of discrimination, retaliation, unequal
 treatment, or harassment at the County of Marin, from 1998 to the present;
- Any and all documents showing the income or payments you, including any business form associated with you (collectively "you") received from the County of Marin from 1998 to the present;
- Any and all documents constituting, regarding, referencing, or relating to any invoices, timesheets, estimates, charges, or collection of payments for work performed on behalf of the County of Marin, including the workplace investigation regarding plaintiff Karl Finley, from 1998 to the present;
- Any and all documents constituting, regarding, referencing, or relating to the County of Marin Affirmative Action reports, plans, findings, or data, including any statistical information;
- Any and all documents constituting, regarding, referencing, or relating to any
 contracts, understandings, or agreements you have, or used to have, with the
 County of Marin from 1998 to the present, including any exhibits, addendums,
 modifications, changes, additions, proposals, applications, screening documents,
 negotiations, discussions, notes, and any documents you presented to the County
 of Marin to conduct work;
- Any email, correspondence, or communications with the County of Marin, including but not limited to Laura Armor, James Evans, or any other representative of the human resources department or affirmative action office, from 1998 to the present;

Finley v. County of Marin, et al. U.S. District Court, Northern District of California Action No. C07-05922 TEH

Attachment to Subpoena to Linda Daubi Page 2 of 2

- Any and all documents constituting, regarding, referencing, or relating to any training or education you provided to the County of Marin from 1998 to the present; and,
- Any and all documents setting forth your education, training, experience, and qualifications, including any resumes or other related material (including any such material that was provided to the County of Marin), related to any work you performed for the County of Marin.

24

25

26

27

28